

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

This Document Relates to:

ALL CASES

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE:
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE
SEALED**

Having considered Plaintiffs' January 8, 2024 Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Filed Under Seal, related to Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of Roopal P. Luhana in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Enforce PTO No. 2 and to compel Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC (collectively "Uber") to produce litigation hold and preservation information, the Court hereby **DENIES** the Motion and **ORDERS** that the following materials shall not be sealed:

Document	Description	Designating Party
Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of Roopal P. Luhana in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Enforce PTO No. 2 and to Compel Defendants to Produce Litigation Hold and Preservation Information	List of the job titles of past and current Uber employees subject to a legal hold	Uber

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2
3 Dated: _____

4 _____
5 HON. LISA J. CISNEROS
6 United States Magistrate Court Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28